

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usma.gov

	·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,772	02/25/2004	Ross Bunker	BEAS-01437US1 2871	
23910 FLIESLER ME	7590 02/01/200 EYER LLP	8	EXAMINER	
650 CALIFOR	NIA STREET		PHAM, MICHAEL	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,772	BUNKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Michael D. Pham	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2007</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,13-18,20 and 21 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed are all accomposed accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed accompo	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Detailed Action

Status of claims

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 are pending.
- 2. Claims 5, 12, 19, and 22-28 have been cancelled.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 15-18 and 20-21, applicant does not provide antecedent basis for the claim terminology "machine readable storage medium" and "computer readable storage medium". However, does provide that a storage medium (media). See, applicant's specification 0069.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6874143 by Murray et. al. (hereafter Murray).

Claim 1:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"a user interface;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, a user interface (user interface) is suggested]

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

"a plurality of services, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension." [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, a plurality of services (tags), wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.]

"a plurality of extensions to extend an application;" [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform.

Accordingly, a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an application (incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions;"[col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of

the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions provides functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of services provides access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions." [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of services (tags) provides access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

Claim 2:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"One of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services" [col. 7 lines 11-21].

Claim 3:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block" [col. 7 lines 25-26, extensions can themselves be extended by other developers].

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Claim 4:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 6:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers" [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.].

Claim 7:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information" [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.].

Claim 8:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"providing a user interface to allow user interaction with the application;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, providing a user interface to allow user interaction with the application (user interface) is suggested]

"providing a plurality of extensions to extend the application," [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform. Accordingly, providing a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an

10/786,772

Art Unit: 2167

application (incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.] "wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions to provide functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"providing a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, providing a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services (tags) to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

"wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to

10/786,772

Art Unit: 2167

Page 7

functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and

themes) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the

plurality of extensions; and" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various

tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality

of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various

tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated

with another extension in the plurality of extensions." [col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the

EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of

the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with

another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

Claim 9:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"one of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services." [col. 7 lines

11-21].

Claim 10:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block." [col. 7 lines 25-26,

extensions can themselves be extended by other developers].

Claim 11:

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 13:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers." [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.].

Claim 14:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information." [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.].

Claim 15:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"provide a user interface to allow user interaction with an application;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, provide a user interface to allow user interaction with the application (user interface) is suggested]

"provide a plurality of extensions to extend the application," [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform.

Accordingly, provide a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an application

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

(incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.] "wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions to provide functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"provide a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, provide a plurality of services (tags) wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services (tags) to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

"wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the plurality of extensions; and" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions." [col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

Claim 16:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"one of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services." [col. 7 lines 11-21]

Claim 17:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block." [col. 7 lines 25-26, extensions can themselves be extended by other developers]

Claim 18:

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 20:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers." [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.]

Claim 21:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information." [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.]

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- A. Applicant's mainly assert that "wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension" is not disclosed. The reason being that a public interface is a specific technical term well known in the art that does

10/786,772

Art Unit: 2167

not appear to be mentioned in Ali and further in view of the comments directed towards public interface, claim 1 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references.

In response the examiner respectfully disagrees that the cited references does not disclose a public interface. Murray discloses, col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Murray discloses col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Murray discloses, col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, a plurality of services (tags), wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.

The claims are still broad enough to be suggested by the cited reference. Accordingly, applicant's assertions are unpersuasive over the cited reference.

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record listed on PTO-892 and not relied, if any, upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Pham whose telephone number is (571)272-3924. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Pham Art Unit 2167

Examiner

John Cottingham Art Unit 2167 Supervisor